Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Conformational switching caused by biphenyl substitution at the C^{α} position: ethyl 2-benzyl-2-(formylamino)-3-phenylpropionate and ethyl 3-(1,1'-biphenyl-4-yl)-2-(formylamino)-2-(4-phenylbenzyl)propionate

Lakshminarasimhan Damodharan,^a Vasantha Pattabhi,^a* Manoranjan Behera^b and Sambasivarao Kotha^b

^aDepartment of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India Correspondence e-mail: pvasantha@hotmail.com

Received 4 May 2004 Accepted 1 June 2004 Online 30 June 2004

The title compounds, $C_{19}H_{21}NO_3$ and $C_{31}H_{29}NO_3$, are derivatives of α -aminoisobutyric acid, with benzyl and dibenzyl substitution. The pseudo-peptide formed by the *N*-formyl and ethyl ester substitution at the C^{α} position switches from a *trans-trans* to a *trans-cis* configuration as a result of biphenyl substitution. The packing of the compounds is stabilized by N-H···O and C-H···O hydrogen bonds.

Comment

 α -Aminoisobutyric acid (Aib), which is achiral, has well established structural effects (Karle *et al.*, 1994; Ramesh & Balaram, 1999; Formaggio *et al.*, 2000). Similarly, benzyl substitution at the C^{α} position provides rigidity to the peptide backbone, and this conformational restriction is useful



in peptide motif design (Studer & Seebach, 1995; Damodharan et al., 2002; Karle & Balaram, 1990; Polese et al., 1996; Kotha & Brahmachary, 2000). The effects of benzyl and phenyl substitution at the C^{α} position of Aib have been studied *via* crystal structure analyses. The two title compounds, (I), and (II), crystallize in the same $(P2_1/c)$ space group from *n*-propanol-methanol (1:1) and 2-propanol solutions, respectively.



Figure 1

The molecular structures of (a) (I) and (b) (II), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering schemes.

The molecular structures of the title compounds are shown in Fig. 1. Terminal atoms C18 and C17 of the ethyl ester side chains of (I) exhibit disorder. The bond angles at atoms C2 and C9 of (I), and at C2 and C15 of (II), are significantly larger than normal tetrahedral values because of the presence of the bulky substitutions [115.4 (2)° at C2 and 115.8 (3)° at C9 in (I), and 115.9 (2)° at C2 and 116.4 (2)° at C15 in (II)]. The angles between benzene rings A and B are 61.4 (2) and 61.8 (1)° in (I) and (II), respectively; the angle between rings A and C in (II) is 18.1 (1)°, and that between rings B and D in (II) is 39.8 (2)°. The additional phenyl substitution causes the molecules to be arranged along the longest axis (*viz.* the a axis) in (II), and a herring-bone packing arrangement is seen in both structures.

The *N*-formyl and ethyl ester chains form a pseudo-peptide, the backbone of which adopts a *trans–trans* conformation in



Figure 2

A stereoview of the superposition of (I) (black) and (II) (grey), showing the conformational switching of the ethyl ester chain from *trans-trans* in (I) to *cis-trans* in (II).



Figure 3

A stereoview of the packing of (I), showing intramolecular N–H···O interactions and intermolecular C–H···O interactions.





(I) $[C16-C1-N1-C19 \ (\varphi) = -178.8 \ (3)^{\circ}, \ O17A-C16-C1-N1 \ (\psi) = 170.5 \ (5)^{\circ}$ and $O17B-C16-C1-N1 \ (\psi) = -169.8 \ (7)^{\circ}$; as a result of disorder, ψ adopt two values] and a *trans-cis* conformation in (II) $[C28-C1-N31-C32 \ (\varphi) = 178.4 \ (2)^{\circ}$ and $N31-C1-C28-O29 \ (\psi) = -5.4 \ (3)^{\circ}$; Fig. 2]. This conformational switching may be due to the additional phenyl ring substitutions on either side of the C^{α} atom.

The *N*-formyl side chain is planar and in a folded conformation in both compounds $[C1-N1-C19-O19 = -4.4 (5)^{\circ}$ and $C1-N31-C32-O33 = 0.7 (4)^{\circ}$ for (I) and (II), respectively]. The ethyl ester side chains adopt different conformations in the two compounds, *viz.* -ap (antiperiplanar) and +sc (synclinal) in (I), and +ac (anticlinal) in (II) [C16-O17*A*-C17*A*-C18*A* = $-171.9 (10)^{\circ} (-ap)$ and C16-O17*B*-C17*B*-C18*B* = 82 (2)° (+sc) in (I) (two conformations as a result of the disorder), and C28-O29-C29-C30 = 93.8 (4)° (+ac) in (II)]. The switch from -ap/+sc to +ap can be attributed to the biphenyl substitutions (Fig. 3).

Intramolecular N-H···O and C-H···O hydrogen bonds are present in both structures. The N1-C1-C16 angle is 105.0 (2)°, possibly as a result of the presence of an intramolecular N1-H···O16 hydrogen bond, whereas the corresponding angle (N31-C1-C28) in (II) is 110.2 (2)° (Fig. 4). The bifurcated N31-H31···O29(x, y, z)/O33(x, $\frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$) hydrogen bond may be the reason for the widening of this bond angle.

The packing of both structures is stabilized by $C-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots O$ interactions. Atom O19 of the *N*-formyl group in (I) forms an intermolecular $C5-H5\cdots O19$ hydrogen bond, which is replaced by an N31-H31 \cdots O33 hydrogen bond in (II) (Tables 1 and 2, and Figs. 3 and 4).

Experimental

Reaction of benzyl bromide, (1), with ethyl isocyanoacetate in the presence of a phase-transfer catalyst, such as tetrabutylammonium sulfate, in acetonitrile/potassium carbonate gave a coupling product.

Hydrolysis of the coupling product with concentrated HCl in the presence of diethyl ether gave the formyl derivative (I).



(i) ethyl isocyanoacetate, K₂CO₃, CH₃CN (ii) conc. HCl, diethyl ether

Similarly, compound (4) was prepared from p-iodobenzyl bromide, (3). A Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction (Kotha et al., 2002) of (4) with benzeneboronic acid in the presence of Pd⁰ as catalyst gave the cross-coupling product (II).



(i) ethyl isocyanoacetate, K2CO3, CH3CN, (ii) conc. HCl, diethyl ether (iii) Ph-B(OH)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄

> Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 3802

reflections

 $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K

Rectangular block, colorless $0.52 \times 0.43 \times 0.42$ mm

 $\theta = 2.1 - 27.9^{\circ}$

Compound (I)

Crystal data

$C_{19}H_{21}NO_3$
$M_r = 311.37$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a = 9.980(2) Å
b = 11.853 (3) Å
c = 14.575 (4) Å
$\beta = 94.147 \ (4)^{\circ}$
$V = 1719.6 (7) \text{ Å}^3$
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.203 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
-

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector	$R_{\rm int} = 0.023$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.9^{\circ}$
ω scans	$h = -12 \rightarrow 12$
13 797 measured reflections	$k = -13 \rightarrow 15$
3802 independent reflections	$l = -18 \rightarrow 19$
2295 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

/3

Table 1

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N1−H1···O16A	0.86	2.22	2.657 (15)	111
$N1 - H1 \cdots O16B$	0.86	2.11	2.55 (2)	111
$C5-H5\cdots O19^{i}$	0.93	2.46	3.314 (3)	152
$C2-H2B\cdots O19$	0.97	2.57	3.168 (3)	119
C9−H9A···O19	0.97	2.64	3.170 (3)	114

Symmetry code: (i) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Compound (II)

Crystal data

C ₃₁ H ₂₉ NO ₃	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 463.55$	Cell parameters from 5898
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	reflections
a = 26.761 (5) Å	$\theta = 2.0-28.0^{\circ}$
b = 10.9424 (19) Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 8.5818 (15) Å	T = 293 (2) K
$\beta = 95.068 (3)^{\circ}$	Rectangular block, colorless
V = 2503.2 (8) Å ³	$0.54 \times 0.45 \times 0.45$ mm
Z = 4	
$D_x = 1.230 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.052$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.0^{\circ}$
ω scans 21 471 measured reflections 5898 independent reflections	$h = -34 \rightarrow 34$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 10$
2853 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0465P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.080$	+ 0.6112P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.161$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
5898 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.19 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
316 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.12 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
N31-H31···O29	0.86	2.12	2.556 (2)	111
$N31 - H31 \cdots O33^{ii}$	0.86	2.21	2.936 (3)	142
C15−H15B···O33	0.97	2.49	3.137 (3)	124
$C2-H2A\cdots O33$	0.97	2.55	3.139 (3)	119

Symmetry code: (ii) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding, with C-H distances of 0.93-0.97 Å and N-H distances of 0.86 Å.

organic compounds

For both compounds, data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

The authors thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, and the Department of Science and Technology, India, for financial support.

References

- Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Damodharan, L., Shamaladevi, N., Pattabhi, V., Behera, M. & Kotha, S. (2002). Acta Cryst. C58, 0266–0267.

Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.

- Formaggio, F., Crisma, M., Rossi, P., Scrimin, P., Kaptein, B., Broxterman, Q. B., Kamphuis, J. & Toniolo, C. (2000). *Chem. Eur. J.* 6, 4498–4504.
- Karle, I. L., Balaji Rao, R., Prasad, S., Kaul, R. & Balaram, P. (1994). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 116, 10355–10361.
- Karle, I. L. & Balaram, P. (1990). Biochemistry, 29, 6747-6756.
- Kotha, S. & Brahmachary, E. (2000). J. Org. Chem. 65, 1359-1365.
- Kotha, S., Lahiri, K. & Kashinath, D. (2002). Tetrahedron, 58, 9633–9695.
- Polese, A., Formaggio, F., Crisma, M., Valle, G., Toniolo, C., Bonora, G. M., Broxterman, Q. B. & Kamphuis, J. (1996). *Chem. Eur. J.* 2, 1104–1111.
- Ramesh, K. & Balaram, P. (1999). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 7, 105–107.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Studer, A. & Seebach, D. (1995). Liebigs Ann. Chem. pp. 217-222.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: NA1665). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.